



研究評審工作 Research Assessment Exercise 2026 HONG KONG

大學教育資助委員會
UNIVERSITY GRANTS COMMITTEE

Open Forum on RAE 2026 Assessment Criteria

UGC 大學教育資助委員會
University Grants Committee

Brief Overview of Assessment Guidelines

Professor David PRICE
RAE Consultant

RAE 2026

- Expert review exercise using *international benchmarks* to assess the research quality of universities and delineate their areas of relative strengths
- 13 assessment panels to conduct the expert review of submissions on *research outputs, impact and environment*

RAE 2026 Guidelines

- **Framework** – sets out the constitutional structure of the RAE [announced in October 2023];
- **Guidance Notes** – for universities making submissions [announced in July 2024];
- **General Panel Guidelines** – guidance and instructions to all the 13 assessment panels; and
- **Panel Specific Guidelines** – lay down the working methods specific to individual panels.

Assessing ROs

➤ Criteria of RO in GPG#15;

contains an element of **new insights or innovation**

contribute to **scholarship** or transfer of **knowledge**

publicly accessible or effectively shared in the profession

➤ Panels will further specify in PSG#11-13 whether an item would be accepted (or not).

Assessing ROs

Originality

Significance

Rigour

- GPG#19: Originality, Significance, Rigour;
- Panels to exercise professional judgement, with regard to the quality pitching at the **best international norms** and the standards of scholarship expected internationally;
- Individual assessors to grade and panels to make a collective decision on the final grading (viz. 4*, 3*, 2*, 1*, u/c).

Assessing ROs - Considerations

- NOT to adopt a mechanical approach during assessment;
- limitations and variability of citation data/metrics within and between disciplines;
- Citation data/metrics, if used as secondary information, should be specified in the PSG for universities' information.

Assessing IM

- ✓ **Wide range of impact areas beyond academia**
 - RAE 2020 Impact Database (impact.ugc.edu.hk)
 - UK REF 2021
 - **Panels will give further examples in PSG#30**

Assessing IM

✓ Evidence of Impact

- **Panels will provide examples in PSG#30**

- **Examples:**

Quantitative Indicators
(publication and sales
figures, etc.)

**Critiques or Citations in
users documents**

Public Engagement
(media coverage, etc)

Policy Engagements
(influence on public policy
debate and practice, etc.)

Independent Testimony
(acknowledgement in
publications, etc.)

Formal Evaluations
(professional evaluation,
formal peer review, etc.)

Assessing IM

Reach

Significance

- GPG#53: Reach and Significance;
- Panels to form an overall view of reach and significance **as a whole**;
- Having regard to disciplinary differences and NOT in terms of the type of research underpinning the impact cases;
- Collective profile rating (viz. 4*, 3*, 2*, 1*, u/c) on the merits of each submission

Assessing EN – Aspects in the UoA EN Statement



- **research and impact strategy:**
 - achievement of strategic aims for research and impact
 - current/future strategic aims & goals
 - how these relate to the structure of the unit; and
 - how they will be taken forward.
- **research integrity and research ethics:**
 - highlights of success and challenge with the UoA; and
 - mechanisms by which standards of research quality and integrity are maintained.

Assessing EN – Aspects in the UoA EN Statement



- **people:**
 - evidence about staffing strategy, staff development and training, etc.
- **income, infrastructure and facilities**

[Note: not measuring the scale of the universities, but how best they are using the resources available]
- **collaborations**
- **esteem**
- **contribution to the discipline or research base**

Assessing EN

Vitality

Sustainability

- GPG#63: Vitality and Sustainability;
- Panels to decide whether to assess as a whole or to attach weighting to aspects within EN;
- Give profile rating (viz. 4*, 3*, 2*, 1*, u/c) on the whole or individual aspects;
- NOT judge automatically in terms of scale. Suitable level of diversity will be regarded positively.

Thank You