Reading Guide

1. The order of RAE 2020 results in which they are given is alphabetical by the full official name of universities.

Universities	
CityU	City University of Hong Kong
HKBU	Hong Kong Baptist University
LU	Lingnan University
CUHK	The Chinese University of Hong Kong
EdUHK	The Education University of Hong Kong
PolyU	The Hong Kong Polytechnic University
HKUST	The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology
HKU	The University of Hong Kong

2. The results are not for comparison purposes and not to be used in a standalone manner. This should be read in conjunction with the Guidance Notes, General Panel Guidelines and Panel-specific Guidelines on Assessment Criteria and Working Methods for the RAE 2020.

3. A Panel produced an overall quality profile by assessing three distinct elements of the assessment – research outputs, impact and environment – to produce a sub-profile for each element. The three sub-profiles of a unit of assessment will be aggregated to form the overall quality profile for the unit of assessment, with the research outputs weighted 70%, impact weighted 15% and environment weighted 15%. The overall quality profile and the sub-profiles shows the proportion of research activity in the submission judged to meet the definitions of starred levels. The sector-wide results and the results at university level by panel in the tables are computed by aggregating the results based on number of submissions.

Overall quality profile categories :

Overall qual	ny prome categories :
4 star	world leading
3 star	internationally excellent
2 star	international standing
1 star	limited standing
unclassified	
Outputs sub-	profile categories :
4 star	world leading in terms of originality, significance and rigour
3 star	internationally excellent in terms of originality, significance and rigour
2 star	international standing in terms of originality, significance and rigour
1 star	research outputs of limited originality, significance and rigour
unclassified	not reaching the standard of 1 star; or not regarded as research outputs in the RAE 2020; or missing item in the submission
Impact sub-p	profile categories :
4 star	outstanding impacts in terms of their reach and significance
3 star	considerable impacts in terms of their reach and significance
2 star	some impacts in terms of their reach and significance
1 star	limited impacts in terms of their reach and significance
unclassified	the impact is of either no reach or no significance; or the impact was not eligible; or the impact was not underpinned by research produced by the submitting unit; or nil submission
Environmen	t sub-profile categories :
4 star	an environment that is conducive to producing research of world-leading quality, in terms of its vitality and sustainability
3 star	an environment that is conducive to producing research of internationally excellent quality, in terms of its vitality and sustainability
2 star	an environment that is conducive to producing research of internationally recognised quality, in terms of its vitality and sustainability

unclassified an environment that is not conducive to producing research of 1 star quality; or nil submission

4. In accordance with Guidance Notes paragraph 4.4 -

1 star

Universities' assignment of eligible academic staff to a research area and respective units of assessment can be subject to re-assignment by the UGC in case of an anomaly, such as the assignment of certain staff members to a research area and unit of assessment and yet a major part or even all of their research outputs are in the field of other research area(s) or unit(s) of assessment or RAE panel(s). The reassignment will be based on the recommendations of relevant RAE panel(s) and clarifications made by universities concerned, if any. The re-assignment made will be final in forming the RAE results and no appeal on this will be considered.

an environment that is conducive to producing research of limited quality, in terms of its vitality and sustainability

The numbers of eligible staff shown in the tables have incorporated re-assignments by the UGC based on the recommendations of relevant RAE panels after considering the clarifications made by the universities concerned.

5. The number of staff covered by each panel varies significantly. The largest panel covers 650 or more eligible staff, while the smallest only covers about 100. The number of eligible staff in each university and each panel also varies.

6. Universities have made submission to different number of units of assessment in each panel. For example, University A has made submission to only one unit of assessment in the Biology Panel, while University B two.

7. In view of (5) and (6) above, it is neither fair nor appropriate to compare the quality profiles of different universities.

Panel 11 - Humanities

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles		Percent neet the 3*		-	u/c	■ 4* ■ 3* ■ 2* ■ 1* ■ u/c ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	No. of eligible staff
Sector-wide	Overall	- 22	44	28	5	<u>u/c</u>		484
	Outputs	17	44	31	6	2		
	Impact	31	44 44	21	4	2		
	Environment	37	43	20	4 0	0		
	Liivitoiment	51	-13	20	0	0		
City University of Hong Kong	Overall	5	59	27	6	3		45
	Outputs	7	47	33	8	5		
	Impact	0	75	25	0	0		
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
Hong Kong Baptist University	Overall	18	44	33	4	1		66
Omversity	Outputs	12	45	36	5	2		
	Impact	33	50	17	0	0		
	Environment	33	34	33	0	0		
Lingnan University	Overall	14	44	39	2	1		49
	Outputs	16	42	37	4	1		
	Impact	0	50	50	0	0		
	Environment	17	50	33	0	0		
The Chinese University of Hong	Overall	36	41	19	4	0		106
Kong	Outputs	25	49	22	4	0		
	Impact	50	20	20	10	0		
	Environment	75	25	0	0	0		
The Education University of Hong	Overall	4	37	47	11	1		54
Kong	Outputs	6	38	43	12	1		
	Impact	0	40	40	20	0		
	Environment	0	25	75	0	0		
The Hong Kong Polytechnic	Overall	11	46	33	5	5		56
University	Outputs	8	42	36	7	7		
	Impact	0	80	20	0	0		
	Environment	33	34	33	0	0		
The Hong Kong	Overall	22	49	26	2	1		24
University of Science and	Outputs	25	33	37	4	1		
Technology	Impact	33	67	0	0	0		
· - 00	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		

Panel 11	- Hum	anities
----------	-------	---------

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles						4*	3* 2 2	* 🗆 1	* 🗆 u/c 🗆	No. of eligible
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c					staff
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	41	41	14	2	2					84
	Outputs	27	47	20	3	3					
	Impact	78	22	0	0	0					
	Environment	71	29	0	0	0					

Sector-wide / **Quality profile** Percentage judged to ■4* ■3* 2* ∎1* □u/c No. of university & sub-profiles meet the standard of : eligible staff 4* 3* 2* 1* u/c Sector-wide Overall Outputs Impact Environment City University of Overall Hong Kong Outputs Impact Environment Π **Hong Kong Baptist** Overall University Outputs Ш Impact Environment Π Lingnan University Overall Outputs П Impact Environment The Chinese Overall University of Hong Outputs Kong Impact Environment Overall **The Education University of Hong** Outputs Kong Impact Environment The Hong Kong Overall University of Outputs Science and Impact Technology Environment The University of Overall Hong Kong Outputs П Impact Environment

Results of the Research Assessment Exercise 2020

UoA 30 - Chinese language & literature

Quality profile Sector-wide / Percentage judged to ∎4* ∎3* □2* □1* □u/c No. of university & sub-profiles meet the standard of : eligible staff 4* 3* 2* 1* u/c Sector-wide Overall Π Outputs Impact Environment City University of Overall Hong Kong Outputs Impact Environment **Hong Kong Baptist** Overall University Outputs Π Impact Environment Lingnan University Overall Outputs Π Impact Environment The Chinese Overall University of Hong Outputs Π Kong Impact Environment Overall **The Education University of Hong** Outputs Π Kong Impact Environment The University of Overall Hong Kong Outputs Impact Environment

Results of the Research Assessment Exercise 2020

UoA 31 - English Language & literature

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles		Percent neet the		-		■ 4* ■ 3* ■ 2* ■ 1* ■ u/c		
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c		staff	
Sector-wide	Overall	23	31	40	5	1		22	
	Outputs	18	38	35	8	1			
	Impact	33	0	67	0	0			
	Environment	33	34	33	0	0			
Hong Kong Baptist University	Overall	34	29	27	8	2		9	
	Outputs	6	41	39	11	3			
	Impact	100	0	0	0	0			
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0			
Lingnan University	Overall	12	17	63	8	0		6	
	Outputs	17	25	46	12	0			
	Impact	0	0	100	0	0			
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0			
The Chinese University of Hong	Overall	25	45	30	0	0		7	
Kong	Outputs	36	43	21	0	0			
8	Impact	0	0	100	0	0			
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0			

UoA 32 - translation

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles			age jud standa 2*	-	u/c	4* 3* 2* 1* u/c 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No. of eligible staff
Sector-wide	Overall	22	44	27	6	1		85
	Outputs	12	43	35	8	2		
	Impact	29	71	0	0	0		
	Environment	60	20	20	0	0		
City University of	Overall	6	60	24	9	1		15
Hong Kong	Outputs	9	43	34	12	2		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	Overall	55	32	11	2	0		9
	Outputs	36	46	15	3	0		
	Impact	100	0	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		
The Education University of Hong	Overall	6	37	50	7	0		10
Kong	Outputs	8	32	50	10	0		
8	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0		
The Hong Kong Polytechnic	Overall	21	45	26	7	1		44
University	Outputs	8	43	38	9	2		
-	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	43	38	16	0	3		7
	Outputs	19	54	23	0	4		
	Impact	100	0	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		

UoA 33 - linguistics & language studies

UoA 34 - history

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles		Percent neet the 3*		-	u/c	■ 4* ■ 3* ■ 2* ■ 1* ■ u/c ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	No. of eligible staff
Sector-wide	Overall	26	51	22	0	1		61
	Outputs	28	54	17	0	1		
	Impact	28 29	42	29	0	0		
	Environment	14	43	43	0	0		
City University of	Overall	6	68	20	3	3		7
Hong Kong	Outputs	8	76	8	4	4		
	Impact	0	0	100	4	+ I 0 [
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
		Ŭ	100	Ű	Ŭ	0		
Hong Kong Baptist University	Overall	11	43	46	0	0		13
·	Outputs	16	61	23	0	0		
	Impact	0	0	100	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0		
Lingnan University	Overall	20	48	32	0	0		7
	Outputs	28	48	24	0	0		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0		
The Chinese University of Hong	Overall	29	68	3	0	0		12
Kong	Outputs	42	54	4	0	0		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
The Hong Kong	Overall	0	62	38	0	0		3
Polytechnic University	Outputs	0	67	33	0	0		
Chiversity	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0 [
The Hong Kong	Overall	49	38	11	0	2		8
University of	Outputs	48	33	16	0	3 [
Science and Technology	Impact	100	0	0	0	0		
reemonogy	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
The University of	Overall	51	35	14	0	0		11
Hong Kong	Outputs	30	50	20	0	0		
	_	100		0				
	Impact	100	0	0	0	0		

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles	r	Percent neet the	e standa	rd of :		■4* ■3* ■2* ■1* ■u/c ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	No. of eligible staff
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c		
Sector-wide	Overall	22	39	28	6	5		88
	Outputs	17	40	29	7	7		
	Impact	11	45	33	11	0		
	Environment	57	29	14	0	0		
Hong Kong Baptist University	Overall	28	45	19	5	3		13
Chiveisity	Outputs	18	44	26	8	4		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		
Lingnan University	Overall	21	50	26	3	0		8
	Outputs	8	50	38	4	0		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		
The Chinese University of Hong	Overall	37	28	21	13	1		18
Kong	Outputs	31	40	19	9	1		
8	Impact	0	0	50	50	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		
The Education University of Hong	Overall	5	35	55	2	3		7
Kong	Outputs	7	50	36	3	4		
-	Impact	0	0	100	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0		
The Hong Kong Polytechnic	Overall	6	36	35	1	22		9
University	Outputs	8	31	28	2	31		
j	Impact	0	0	100	0	0		
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
The Hong Kong University of	Overall	2	55	36	7	0		10
Science and	Outputs	3	35	52	10	0		
Technology	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	37	36	16	3	8		23
TIME TONE	Outputs	20	41	23	5	11		
	Impact	50	50	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		

UoA 35 - area studies (e.g. Japanese studies, European studies, etc.), cultural studies and other arts/humanities

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles		Percentage judged to meet the standard of :				■ 4* ■ 3* ■ 2* ■ 1* ■ u/c ■ ■ ■ ■ ■ ■		
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c		staff	
Sector-wide	Overall	33	39	27	1	0		32	
	Outputs	19	48	31	2	0			
	Impact	67	0	33	0	0			
	Environment	67	33	0	0	0			
Lingnan University	Overall	13	44	43	0	0		11	
	Outputs	19	41	40	0	0			
	Impact	0	0	100	0	0			
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0			
The Chinese University of Hong	Overall	37	40	22	1	0		13	
Kong	Outputs	10	57	31	2	0			
	Impact	100	0	0	0	0			
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0			
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	54	29	15	2	0		8	
nong Nong	Outputs	34	41	22	3	0			
	Impact	100	0	0	0	0			
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0			

UoA 36 - philosophy

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles		Percent neet the		-		■4* ■3* ■2* ■1* ■u/c ■ ■ ■ ■ ■	No. of eligible
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c		staff
Sector-wide	Overall	20	44	33	3	0		28
	Outputs	15	41	39	5	0		
	Impact	33	67	0	0	0		
	Environment	33	34	33	0	0		
Hong Kong Baptist University	Overall	7	38	54	1	0		10
	Outputs	10	33	55	2	0		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0		
The Chinese University of Hong	Overall	44	34	19	3	0		15
Kong	Outputs	20	49	26	5	0		
8	Impact	100	0	0	0	0		
	Environment	100	0	0	0	0		
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	6	47	35	12	0		3
HVIIG INVIIG	Outputs	8	25	50	17	0		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	0	100	0	0	0		

UoA 37 - religious studies