Reading Guide

1. The order of RAE 2020 results in which they are given is alphabetical by the full official name of universities.

Universities

CityU City University of Hong Kong HKBU Hong Kong Baptist University

LU Lingnan University

CUHK The Chinese University of Hong Kong
EdUHK The Education University of Hong Kong
PolyU The Hong Kong Polytechnic University

HKUST The Hong Kong University of Science and Technology

HKU The University of Hong Kong

- 2. The results are not for comparison purposes and not to be used in a standalone manner. This should be read in conjunction with the Guidance Notes, General Panel Guidelines and Panel-specific Guidelines on Assessment Criteria and Working Methods for the RAE 2020.
- 3. A Panel produced an overall quality profile by assessing three distinct elements of the assessment research outputs, impact and environment to produce a sub-profile for each element. The three sub-profiles of a unit of assessment will be aggregated to form the overall quality profile for the unit of assessment, with the research outputs weighted 70%, impact weighted 15% and environment weighted 15%. The overall quality profile and the sub-profiles shows the proportion of research activity in the submission judged to meet the definitions of starred levels. The sector-wide results and the results at university level by panel in the tables are computed by aggregating the results based on number of submissions.

Overall quality profile categories:

4 star world leading

3 star internationally excellent 2 star international standing 1 star limited standing

unclassified

Outputs sub-profile categories:

4 star world leading in terms of originality, significance and rigour

3 star internationally excellent in terms of originality, significance and rigour
2 star international standing in terms of originality, significance and rigour
1 star research outputs of limited originality, significance and rigour

unclassified not reaching the standard of 1 star; or not regarded as research outputs in the RAE 2020; or missing item in the submission

Impact sub-profile categories:

4 star outstanding impacts in terms of their reach and significance
3 star considerable impacts in terms of their reach and significance
2 star some impacts in terms of their reach and significance
1 star limited impacts in terms of their reach and significance

unclassified the impact is of either no reach or no significance; or the impact was not eligible; or the impact was not underpinned by research

produced by the submitting unit; or nil submission

Environment sub-profile categories:

4 star an environment that is conducive to producing research of world-leading quality, in terms of its vitality and sustainability 3 star an environment that is conducive to producing research of internationally excellent quality, in terms of its vitality and

sustainability

2 star an environment that is conducive to producing research of internationally recognised quality, in terms of its vitality and

sustainability

star an environment that is conducive to producing research of limited quality, in terms of its vitality and sustainability

unclassified an environment that is not conducive to producing research of 1 star quality; or nil submission

4. In accordance with Guidance Notes paragraph 4.4 -

Universities' assignment of eligible academic staff to a research area and respective units of assessment can be subject to re-assignment by the UGC in case of an anomaly, such as the assignment of certain staff members to a research area and unit of assessment and yet a major part or even all of their research outputs are in the field of other research area(s) or unit(s) of assessment or RAE panel(s). The reassignment will be based on the recommendations of relevant RAE panel(s) and clarifications made by universities concerned, if any. The re-assignment made will be final in forming the RAE results and no appeal on this will be considered.

The numbers of eligible staff shown in the tables have incorporated re-assignments by the UGC based on the recommendations of relevant RAE panels after considering the clarifications made by the universities concerned.

- 5. The number of staff covered by each panel varies significantly. The largest panel covers 650 or more eligible staff, while the smallest only covers about 100. The number of eligible staff in each university and each panel also varies.
- 6. Universities have made submission to different number of units of assessment in each panel. For example, University A has made submission to only one unit of assessment in the Biology Panel, while University B two.
- 7. In view of (5) and (6) above, it is neither fair nor appropriate to compare the quality profiles of different universities.

Panel 7 - Built Environment

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles	Percentage judged to meet the standard of:					4* 3* 2* 1* u/c		
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c			staff
Sector-wide	Overall	20	51	24	3	2			237
	Outputs	18	53	26	2	1 [
	Impact	26	48	15	0	11			
	Environment	25	45	24	6	0			
City University of	Overall	8	40	38	7	7			43
Hong Kong	Outputs	11	54	30	5	0			
	Impact	0	0	50	0	50 [
	Environment	0	18	62	20	0 [
The Chinese	Overall	14	59	25	2	0			10
University of Hong Kong	Outputs	16	46	35	3	0			
Tiong .	Impact	0	100	0	0	0			
	Environment	20	80	0	0	0			
The Hong Kong Polytechnic	Overall	26	47	25	1	1			91
University	Outputs	14	53	30	1	2 [
Chiversity	Impact	50	33	17	0	0			
	Environment	58	35	7	0	0			
The Hong Kong University of	Overall	31	51	17	1	0			28
Science and	Outputs	18	56	25	1	0			
Technology	Impact	50	50	0	0	0			
	Environment	70	30	0	0	0			
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	26	58	13	2	1			65
nong Kong	Outputs	30	50	16	2	2 [
	Impact	17	83	0	0	0			
	Environment	15	70	15	0	0			

 $\begin{tabular}{ll} \textbf{UoA 16 - civil engineering (incl. construction engineering \& management) and} \\ \textbf{building technology} \end{tabular}$

	145
Sector-wide Overall 18 55 25 1 1	145
Outputs 12 57 30 1 0	
Impact 30 50 10 0 10	
Environment 35 50 15 0 0	
City University of Overall 7 50 34 2 7	28
Hong Kong Outputs 10 59 29 2 0	
Impact 0 0 50 0 50	
Environment 0 55 45 0 0	
The Hong Kong Overall 24 52 23 1 0	69
Polytechnic University Outputs 12 53 34 1 0	
Impact 50 50 0 0 0	
Environment 55 45 0 0 0	
The Hong Kong Overall 31 51 17 1 0 University of	28
Science and Outputs 18 56 25 1 0	
Technology Impact 50 50 0 0	
Environment 70 30 0 0 0	
The University of Overall 10 72 17 1 0 Hong Kong	20
Outputs 11 66 22 1 0	
Impact 0 100 0 0 0	
Environment 15 70 15 0 0	

UoA 17 - architecture

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles	Percentage judged to meet the standard of:			_		■ 4* ■ 3* ■ 2* ■ 1* ■ u/c	eligible
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c		staff
Sector-wide	Overall	23	42	29	3	3		35
	Outputs	30	35	27	4	4 [
	Impact	0	75	25	0	0		
	Environment	12	45	43	0	0		
City University of Hong Kong	Overall	14	35	48	3	0		5
	Outputs	20	50	25	5	0		
	Impact	0	0	100	0	0		
	Environment	0	0	100	0	0 [
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	Overall	14	59	25	2	0		10
	Outputs	16	46	35	3	0		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	20	80	0	0	0		
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	30	41	21	2	6		20
	Outputs	40	25	23	4	8		
	Impact	0	100	0	0	0		
	Environment	15	55	30	0	0		

UoA 18 - planning and surveying (land and other)

Sector-wide / university	Quality profile & sub-profiles	Percentage judged to meet the standard of:			_		■ 4* ■ 3* ■ 2* ■ 1* ■ u/c	No. of eligible
		4*	3*	2*	1*	u/c		staff
Sector-wide	Overall	28	46	17	5	4		57
	Outputs	26	53	16	3	2		
	Impact	40	20	20	0	20		
	Environment	25	37	18	20	0		
City University of Hong Kong	Overall	6	30	31	18	15		10
Hong Kong	Outputs	8	43	36	13	0		
	Impact	0	0	0	0	100		
	Environment	0	0	40	60	0		
The Hong Kong Polytechnic University	Overall	32	41	23	0	4		22
	Outputs	22	53	19	0	6		
	Impact	50	0	50	0	0		
	Environment	60	25	15	0	0		
The University of Hong Kong	Overall	36	59	4	1	0		25
Hong Kong	Outputs	38	55	6	1	0		
	Impact	50	50	0	0	0		
	Environment	15	85	0	0	0		