

Catherine McBride
Psychology Department
The Chinese University of Hong Kong
Town Hall Meeting

June 20, 2014

Outline

- General tips for grant-writing
- Specific experiences in undertaking the CRF proposal
- CRF presentation tips

General Grant-Writing Tips

- 1. Clearly state the hypotheses.
- 2. Mention theory and practice.
- 3. Write for both specialists and nonspecialists.
- 4. Underscore your qualifications for writing this proposal.
- 5. Perfect your work.
- 6. Learn and grow.



- 1. Clearly state the hypothesis/hypotheses.
 - Be as explicit as you can.
 - Try NEVER to talk about "exploring."
 - Alternatively, compare 2 major (opposite) theories.
 - Expected findings should be quite clear.

- 2. Whenever possible, link your proposal to both theory and practice.
 - Consider both "scientific/scholarly merit" and "societal relevance/relevancy to Hong Kong"
 - Mark these: "Theoretically, this study will _" "Practically, this research can _"
 - If there is a "Chinese angle," make sure you have considered it.

3. Write (simultaneously) for both specialists and non-specialists.

- Reviewers will be from Hong Kong and around the world.
- Ensure that your abstract is easy to understand.
- Incorporate critiques
- Be extra careful in the interdisciplinary realm

4. Underscore your qualifications to undertake this proposal.

- Cite yourself or your CIs in the literature review.
- Ensure that your short c.v. highlights your links to this particular area.
- Previous grants, pilot testing, papers?

- 5. Perfect your work. Give yourself time to revise it separately from the writing process itself. Hayes/Flower (1980) model of writing in 3 stages:
- Plan
- Write (short time! Interdisciplinary=hard!)
- □ Edit



- 5. Perfect your work (continued). Some of the many "double-checks" you should make include:
 - Establishing that everyone who should/could be cited has been included
 - Ensuring your budgeting is well-justified

- 5. Perfect your work. Some of the many "double-checks" you should make include:
 - Ensuring that the timeline for the proposal is reasonable.
 - Detailing plans for data analyses
 - Making sure the formatting and word limits are adhered to
 - Planning for ethics approval early

6. Learn and grow.

Remember that the more you try, the more you will both succeed and fail.

Accept critiques with thoughtfulness and resilience.

More specific CRF tips

- Assessment/selection criteria of the proposal
- Why should you go for a CRF?
- Powerpoint checklist
- □ Surprises along the way

Revised assessment procedure (RGC, December, 2013)

- 3 groups: Biology and medicine; Business, humanities and social science; Physical sciences and engineering
- □ Single or cross-disciplinary teams of reviewers
- Evaluations of technical and management sides for Central Board to finalize funding
- Evaluations based on scoring but also applied vs. theoretical and other strategic considerations

Selection criteria (previous but likely still applicable):

- Academic merits and scientific content
- Long-term goal of the proposal and its potential to develop into an area of strength
- Opportunities for effective synergy among the participating researchers, research groups, and institutions
- Viability of the proposal in terms of project management (including governance and deployment of resources)

Other issues to consider

- Creative and out-of-the-box crossdisciplinary projects
- Focus on RGC institutions
- □ Is your team among the best in the world? Team track record
- Associate Professor and above

Why should you go for this one?

- Those that make it to the interview stage but are not funded may receive additional seed funding from the university in some institutions.
- Interdisciplinary research tends to yield stronger findings with more potential over time.
- Prestige and potential for additional funding

Checklist for powerpoint presentation

- Interdisciplinary/comprehensive?
- □ Record of collaboration?
- Clear/detailed work plan?
- Supplementary slides?
- □ Practically possible?
- What indicates that you are the best?
- Practice for timing
- Strategy for which CI accompanies PI
- Practice answering difficult questions

Surprises along the way

- Interview included over 30 people
- Specific questions I could not answer
- Interview day lasts from 9 a.m. to 9 p.m. or later
- Amount requested is often cut, not always clear why
- How money is divided across universities is dicey